arXiv:1109.3021v1 [math.FA] 14 Sep 2011

Fixed point theorems for single-valued and multi-valued mixed monotone operators of Meir-Keeler type

Farshid Khojasteh[a,*] and Abdolrahman Razani[b,c]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran
Branch, Tehran 14778, Iran.
E-mail: fr_khojasteh@yahoo.com
[b]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Imam Khomeini International University,
Postal code: 34149-16818, Qazvin, Iran.
[c]School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences, P. O. Box 19395-5746,
Tehran, Iran.

E-mail: razani@ikiu.ac.ir

Abstract. In (2008), Zhang proved the existence of fixed points of mixed monotone operators along with certain convexity and concavity conditions. In this paper, mixed monotone single-valued and multi-valued operators of Meir-Keeler type are defined and two fixed point theorems are proved. Our results, extend the results of Zhang.

Keywords: Mixed monotone operator, Meir-Keeler type, Multi-valued, Increasing inward mappings, $\mathcal{L}'$-function.

2000 **AMS Mathematics Subject Classification**: 47H10,47H07

---

[*] Corresponding author

1 Introduction

In (1969), Meir and Keeler [4] introduced a new fixed point theorem as a generalization of Banach fixed point theorem. On the other hand, in (1987), mixed monotone operators were introduced by Guo and Lakshmikantham [1]. Then many authors studied them in Banach spaces and obtained lots of interesting results (see [2, 3] and [5]-[9]).

In this paper, weak and strong mixed monotone single-valued and multi-valued operator of Meir-Keeler type are defined. Then two fixed point theorems for this kind of operators are proved. These results extend the results given by Zhang [7].

Let E be a real Banach space. The zero element of E is denoted by θ. A subset P of E is called a cone if and only if:

- P is closed, nonempty and $P \neq \{\theta\}$,
- $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a, b \geq 0$ and $x, y \in P$ imply that $ax + by \in P$,
- $x \in P$ and $-x \in P$ imply that $x = \theta$.

Given a cone $P \subset E$, a partial ordering $\leq$ with respect to P is defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We write $x < y$ to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ stand for $y - x \in intP$, where $intP$ denotes the interior of P. The cone P is called normal if there exist a number $K > 0$ such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $||x|| \leq K||y||$, for every $x, y \in E$. The least positive number satisfying this, is called the normal constant of P.

Assume $u_0, v_0 \in E$ and $u_0 \leq v_0$. The set $\{x \in E : u_0 \leq x \leq v_0\}$ is denoted by $[u_0, v_0]$.

Now, we recall the following definitions from [2, 3].

Definition 1.1. *Let P be a cone of a real Banach space E. Suppose $D \subset P$ and $\alpha \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. An operator $A : D \to D$ is said to be α-convex (α-concave), if it satisfies $A(tx) \leq t^\alpha Ax$ ($A(tx) \geq t^\alpha Ax$) for $(t, x) \in (0, 1) \times D$.*

Definition 1.2. *Let E be an ordered Banach space and $D \subset E$. An operator is called mixed monotone on $D \times D$, if $A : D \times D \to E$ and $A(x_1, y_1) \leq A(x_2, y_2)$ for any $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in D$, where $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_2 \geq y_1$. Also, $x^* \in D$ is called a fixed point of A, if $A(x^*, x^*) = x^*$.*

Let $\mathcal{C}(E)$ be the collection of all closed subsets of E.

Definition 1.3. *For two subsets X, Y of E, we write*

- *$X \leq Y$, if for all $x \in X$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $x \leq y$.*
- *$X \geq Y$, if for all $x \in X$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $x \geq y$.*
- *$x \ll X$, if there exists $z \in X$, such that $x \ll z$,*
- *$X \ll x$, if for all $z \in X$, $z \ll x$.*

Definition 1.4. *Let D be a nonempty subset of E. $T : D \to \mathcal{C}(E)$ is called, increasing (decreasing) upward, if $u, v \in D$, $u \leq v$ and $x \in T(u)$ imply there exists $y \in T(v)$ such that $x \leq y$ $(x \geq y)$. Similarly, $T : D \to \mathcal{C}(E)$ is called increasing (decreasing) downward, if $u, v \in D$, $u \leq v$ and $y \in T(v)$ imply there exists $x \in T(u)$ such that $x \leq y$ $(x \geq y)$. T is called increasing (decreasing), if T is an increasing (decreasing) upward and downward.*

Definition 1.5. *Let D be a nonempty subset of E. A multi-valued operator $T : D \times D \to \mathcal{C}(E)$ is said to be mixed monotone upward, if $T(x, y)$ is increasing upward in x and decreasing upward in y, i.e.,*

(A_1) *for each $y \in D$ and any $x_1, x_2 \in D$ with $x_1 \leq x_2$, if $u_1 \in T(x_1, y)$ then there exists a $u_2 \in T(x_2, y)$ such that $u_1 \leq u_2$;*

(A_2) *for each $x \in D$ and any $y_1, y_2 \in D$ with $y_1 \leq y_2$, if $v_1 \in T(x, y_1)$ then there exists a $v_2 \in T(x, y_2)$ such that $v_1 \geq v_2$.*

Definition 1.6. *$x^* \in D$ is called a fixed point of T if $x^* \in T(x^*, x^*)$.*

2 Main results

In this section, we introduce two new fixed point theorems in the class of mixed monotone operators. These are new generalizations of the results given by Zhang [7]. Due to this, two definitions are presented as follows:

Definition 2.1. *A mixed monotone operator $A : D \times D \to E$ is said to be a **W**eak **M**ixed **M**onotone single-valued operator of **M**eir-**K**eeler type(**WM$_3$K** property, for short), if for each $\epsilon \gg 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta \gg 0$ such that*

$$\epsilon \leq A(x, ty) < \epsilon + \delta \quad \textit{implies} \quad A(tx, y) < \epsilon, \tag{2.1}$$

for all $(x, y) \in D \times D$.

Definition 2.2. *A mixed monotone operator* $A : D \times D \to E$ *is said to be a* **S***trong* **M***ixed* **M***onotone single-valued operator of* **M***eir-***K***eeler type(*$\mathbf{SM_3K}$ *property, for short), if for each* $\epsilon \gg 0$ *and* $t \in (0, 1)$*, there exists* $\delta \gg 0$ *such that*

$$\epsilon \leq A(x, tx) < \epsilon + \delta \quad \textit{implies} \quad A(tx, x) < \epsilon, \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x \in D$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let* P *be a normal cone of* E*,* S *be a completely ordered closed subset of* E *with* $S_0 = S \backslash \{\theta\} \subset intP$ *and* $\lambda S \subset S$*, for all* $\lambda \in [0, 1]$*. Let* $u_0, v_0 \in S_0$*,* $A : P \times P \to E$ *be a weak mixed monotone operator of Meir-Keeler type with* $A(([\theta, v_0] \cap S) \times ([\theta, v_0] \cap S)) \subset S$ *and satisfies the following conitions:*

- (I) *there exists* $r_0 > 0$ *such that* $u_0 \geq r_0 v_0$*,*
- (II) $A(u_0, v_0) \ll u_0 \ll v_0 \ll A(v_0, u_0)$*,*
- (III) *for* $u, v \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ *with* $A(u, v) \ll u \ll v$*, there exists* $u' \in S$ *such that* $u \leq A(u', v) \ll u' \ll v$*; similarly, for* $u, v \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ *with* $u \ll v \ll A(v, u)$*, there exists* $v' \in S$ *such hat* $u \ll v' \ll A(v', u) \leq v$*.*

Then, A *has at least one fixed point* $x^* \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$*.*

Proof. By the above condition (III), there exists $u_1 \in S$ such that $u_0 \leq A(u_1, v_0) \ll u_1 \ll v_0$. Then, there exists $v_1 \in S$ such that $u_1 \ll v_1 \ll A(v_1, u_1) \leq v_0$. Likewise, there exists $u_2 \in S$ such that $u_1 \leq A(u_2, v_1) \ll u_2 \ll v_1$. Then, there exists $v_2 \in S$ such that $u_2 \ll v_2 \ll A(v_2, u_2) \leq v_1$. In general, there exists $u_n \in S$ such that $u_{n-1} \leq A(u_n, v_{n-1}) \ll u_n \ll v_{n-1}$. Then, there exists $v_n \in S$ such that $u_n \ll v_n \ll A(v_n, u_n) \leq v_{n-1}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$.

Take $r_n = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : u_n \geq r v_n\}$, thus $0 < r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_n < r_{n+1} < \cdots < 1$, and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} r_n = \sup\{r_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\} = r^* \in (0, 1]$. Since $r_{n+1} > r_n = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : u_n \geq r v_n\}$, thus $u_n \ngeq r_{n+1} v_n$. In addition, S is completely ordered and $\lambda S \subset S$, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, then $u_n < r_{n+1} v_n$. Now, one can prove $r^* = 1$. Otherwise, $r^* \in (0, 1)$. Note that

$$u_n \leq A(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) \leq A((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1}). \tag{2.3}$$

Since $u_n < r_{n+1}v_n$ and $r_{n+1} < r^*$, hence, $u_n < r^* v_n$. So, if

$$\epsilon = r_n A((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1}), \tag{2.4}$$

there exists $\delta \gg 0$ such that

$$\epsilon \leq A((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1}) \leq r_n A((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1}) + \delta = \epsilon + \delta. \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore, by (2.1), (2.5) and $u_n < r^* v_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\leq A(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) \\ &= A(r^*(1/r^*)u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) \\ &< \epsilon \\ &= r_n A((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1}) \\ &< r_n A(v_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \\ &\leq r_n v_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Hence, $u_n < r_n v_n$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $r^* = 1$ and

$$||v_n - u_n|| \leq K(1 - r_n)||v_n|| \leq K^2(1 - r_n)||v_0||. \tag{2.7}$$

This means that, $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} v_n$.
For all $n, p \geq 1$,

$$||v_n - v_{n+p}|| \leq K||v_n - u_n|| \to 0 \quad (n, p \to \infty). \tag{2.8}$$

Also,

$$||u_{n+p} - u_n|| \leq K||v_n - u_n|| \to 0 \quad (n, p \to \infty). \tag{2.9}$$

Hence, $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in E, then there exist $u^*, v^* \in E$, such that $u_n \to u^*, v_n \to v^*$ $(n \to \infty)$ and $u^* = v^*$. Write $x^* = u^* = v^*$.
It is easy to see, $u_0 \leq u_n \leq u^* \leq v_n \leq v_0$, for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. In addition, S is closed, then $u^* \in [u_n, v_n] \cap S \subset [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$.

Finally, by the mixed monotone property of A,

$$u_{n-1} \leq A(u_n, v_n) \leq A(x^*, x^*) \leq A(u_n, v_n) \leq u_{n-1}. \tag{2.10}$$

By taking limit from (2.10) when $n \to \infty$, we have $A(x^*, x^*) = x^*$. This means x^* is a fixed point of A in $[u_0, v_0] \cap S$. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let P be a normal cone of E, S be a completely ordered closed subset of E with $S_0 = S \backslash \{\theta\} \subset intP$ and $\lambda S \subset S$, for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$. Let $u_0, v_0 \in S_0$, $A : P \times P \to E$ be a strong mixed monotone operator of Meir-Keeler type with $A(([\theta, v_0] \cap S) \times ([\theta, v_0] \cap S)) \subset S$ and satisfies the following conditions:*

- (I) *there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $u_0 \geq r_0 v_0$,*
- (II) $A(u_0, v_0) \ll u_0 \ll v_0 \ll A(v_0, u_0)$,
- (III) *for $u, v \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ with $A(u, v) \ll u \ll v$, there exists $u' \in S$ such that $u \leq A(u', v) \ll u' \ll v$; similarly, for $u, v \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ with $u \ll v \ll A(v, u)$, there exists $v' \in S$ such hat $u \ll v' \ll A(v', u) \leq v$.*

Then, A has at least one fixed point $x^* \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$.

Proof. By the above condition (III), there exists $u_1 \in S$ such that $u_0 \leq A(u_1, v_0) \ll u_1 \ll v_0$. Then, there exists $v_1 \in S$ such that $u_1 \ll v_1 \ll A(v_1, u_1) \leq v_0$. Likewise, there exists $u_2 \in S$ such that $u_1 \leq A(u_2, v_1) \ll u_2 \ll v_1$. Then, there exists $v_2 \in S$ such that $u_2 \ll v_2 \ll A(v_2, u_2) \leq v_1$. In general, there exists $u_n \in S$ such that $u_{n-1} \leq A(u_n, v_{n-1}) \ll u_n \ll v_{n-1}$. Then, there exists $v_n \in S$ such that $u_n \ll v_n \ll A(v_n, u_n) \leq v_{n-1}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$.
Take $r_n = \sup\{r \in (0,1) : u_n \geq r v_n\}$, then $0 < r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_n < r_{n+1} < \cdots < 1$, and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} r_n = \sup\{r_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\} = r^* \in (0, 1]$. Since $r_{n+1} > r_n = \sup\{r \in (0,1) : u_n \geq r v_n\}$, then $u_n \ngeq r_{n+1} v_n$. In addition, S is completely ordered and $\lambda S \subset S$, for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$, then $u_n < r_{n+1} v_n$. Now, one can prove $r^* = 1$. Otherwise, $r^* \in (0,1)$. Note that

$$u_n \leq A(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) \leq A(u_{n+1}, (1/r^*) u_{n+1}). \tag{2.11}$$

Since $u_n < r_{n+1} v_n$ and $r_{n+1} < r^*$, hence, $u_n < r^* v_n$. So, if $\epsilon = r_n A((1/r^*) u_{n+1}, u_{n+1})$, there exists $\delta \gg 0$ such that

$$\epsilon \leq A((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \leq r_n A((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + \delta = \epsilon + \delta. \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, by (2.2), (2.12) and $u_n < r^* v_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\leq A(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) \\ &\leq A(u_{n+1}, (1/r^*)u_{n+1}) \\ &< \epsilon \\ &= r_n A((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \\ &< r_n A(v_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \\ &\leq r_n v_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Hence, $u_n < r_n v_n$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $r^* = 1$ and

$$||v_n - u_n|| \leq K(1 - r_n)||v_n|| \leq K^2(1 - r_n)||v_0||. \tag{2.14}$$

This means that, $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} u_n = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} v_n$.
For all $n, p \geq 1$,

$$||v_n - v_{n+p}|| \leq K||v_n - u_n|| \to 0 \ (n, p \to \infty). \tag{2.15}$$

Also,

$$||u_{n+p} - u_n|| \leq K||v_n - u_n|| \to 0 \ (n, p \to \infty). \tag{2.16}$$

Hence, $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in E, then there exist $u^*, v^* \in E$, such that $u_n \to u^*, v_n \to v^*$ $(n \to \infty)$ and $u^* = v^*$. Write $x^* = u^* = v^*$.
It is easy to see, $u_0 \leq u_n \leq u^* \leq v_n \leq v_0$, for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. In addition S is closed, then $u^* \in [u_n, v_n] \cap S \subset [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$.

Finally, by the mixed monotone property of A,

$$u_{n-1} \leq A(u_n, v_n) \leq A(x^*, x^*) \leq A(u_n, v_n) \leq u_{n-1}. \tag{2.17}$$

By taking limit from (2.17), when $n \to \infty$, we have $A(x^*, x^*) = x^*$. This means x^* is a fixed point of A in $[u_0, v_0] \cap S$. □

Definition 2.5. *A function* $\Psi : [0,1) \times P \times P \times E \to E$ *is called a* $\mathcal{L}'-$*function, if* $\Psi(t,x,y,0) = 0$, $\Psi(t,x,y,s) \gg 0$ *for* $s \gg 0$*, and for all* $s \gg 0$*, there exits* $\delta \gg 0$ *such that* $\Psi(t,x,y,z) \leq s$ *for all* $s \leq z \leq s+\delta$*. Also,* $\Psi(t,x,x,z) < tz$*, for all* $(t,x,x,z) \in [0,1) \times P \times P \times E$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let* P *be a normal cone of* E*,* S *be a completely ordered closed subset of* E *with* $S_0 = S \backslash \{\theta\} \subset intP$ *and* $\lambda S \subset S$*, for all* $\lambda \in [0,1]$*. Let* $u_0, v_0 \in S_0$*,* $A : P \times P \to E$ *satisfies*

$$A(tx,y) < \Psi(t,x,y,A(x,ty)), \tag{2.18}$$

for each $x,y \in P$ *and* $t \in [0,1]$*, where* Ψ *is a* $\mathcal{L}'-$*function,* $A(([\theta,v_0] \cap S) \times ([\theta,v_0] \cap S)) \subset S$ *and satisfies the following conditions:*

(*I*) *there exists* $r_0 > 0$ *such that* $u_0 \geq r_0 v_0$*,*

(*II*) $A(u_0,v_0) \ll u_0 \ll v_0 \ll A(v_0,u_0)$*,*

(*III*) *for* $u,v \in [u_0,v_0] \cap S$ *with* $A(u,v) \ll u \ll v$*, there exists* $u' \in S$ *such that* $u \leq A(u',v) \ll u' \ll v$*; similarly, for* $u,v \in [u_0,v_0] \cap S$ *with* $u \ll v \ll A(v,u)$*, there exists* $v' \in S$ *such hat* $u \ll v' \ll A(v',u) \leq v$.

Then, A *has at least one fixed point* $x^* \in [u_0,v_0] \cap S$.

Proof. Let $(x,y) \in P \times P$, $\epsilon \gg 0$ and $t \in (0,1)$. Choose $\delta \gg 0$ such that $\epsilon \leq A(x,ty) < \epsilon + \delta$. Since Ψ is a $\mathcal{L}'-$function thus

$$\Psi(t,x,y,A(x,ty)) < \epsilon. \tag{2.19}$$

Therefore, by (2.18), $A(tx,y) < \Psi(t,x,y,A(x,ty)) < \epsilon$. Hence, A satisfies (2.1) and by Theorem 2.3, A has a fixed point x^*. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let* P *be a normal cone of* E*,* S *be a completely ordered closed subset of* E *with* $S_0 = S \backslash \{\theta\} \subset intP$ *and* $\lambda S \subset S$*, for all* $\lambda \in [0,1]$*. Let* $u_0, v_0 \in S_0$*,* $A : P \times P \to E$ *be a mixed monotone operator with* $A(([\theta,v_0] \cap S) \times ([\theta,v_0] \cap S)) \subset S$ *and* $A(u_0,v_0) \ll u_0 \ll v_0 \ll A(v_0,u_0)$*. Assume, there exists a function* $\phi : (0,1) \times ([u_0,v_0] \cap S) \times ([u_0,v_0] \cap S) \to (0,+\infty)$ *such that* $A(tx,y) \leq \phi(t,x,y)A(x,ty)$*, where* $0 < \phi(t,x,x) < t$*, for all* $(t,x,y) \in (0,1) \times ([u_0,v_0] \cap S) \times ([u_0,v_0] \cap S)$*. Suppose*

(*I*) *for* $u,v \in [u_0,v_0] \cap S$ *with* $A(u,v) \ll u \ll v$*, there exists* $u' \in S$ *such that* $u \leq A(u',v) \ll u' \ll v$*; similarly, for* $u,v \in [u_0,v_0] \cap S$ *with* $u \ll v \ll A(v,u)$*, there exists* $v' \in S$ *such hat* $u \ll v' \ll A(v',u) \leq v$.

(*II*) *there exists an element* $w_0 \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ *such that* $\phi(t, x, x) \leq \phi(t, w_0, w_0)$, *for all* $(t, x) \in (0, 1) \times ([u_0, v_0] \cap S)$, *and* $\lim\limits_{s \to t^-} \phi(s, w_0, w_0) < t$, *for all* $t \in (0, 1)$.

Then, A has at least one fixed point $x^* \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$.

Proof. Set $\Psi(t, x, y, z) = z\phi(t, x, y)$. Then, Ψ satisfies all the conditions of Definition 2.5. Thus, by Corollary 2.6, the result is obtained. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let P be a normal cone of E, S be a completely ordered closed subset of E with* $S_0 = S \backslash \{\theta\} \subset intP$ *and* $\lambda S \subset S$, *for all* $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. *Let* $u_0, v_0 \in S_0$, $A : P \times P \to E$ *be a mixed monotone operator with* $A(([\theta, v_0] \cap S) \times ([\theta, v_0] \cap S)) \subset S$ *and* $A(u_0, v_0) \ll u_0 \ll v_0 \ll A(v_0, u_0)$. *Assume, there exists a function* $\eta : (0, 1) \times ([u_0, v_0] \cap S) \to (0, +\infty)$ *such that* $A(tx, x) \leq \eta(t, x)A(x, tx)$, *where* $0 < \eta(t, x) < t$, *for all* $(t, x) \in (0, 1) \times ([u_0, v_0] \cap S)$. *Suppose*

(*I*) *for* $u, v \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ *with* $A(u, v) \ll u \ll v$, *there exists* $u' \in S$ *such that* $u \leq A(u', v) \ll u' \ll v$; *similarly, for* $u, v \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ *with* $u \ll v \ll A(v, u)$, *there exists* $v' \in S$ *such hat* $u \ll v' \ll A(v', u) \leq v$.

(*II*) *there exists an element* $w_0 \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ *such that* $\eta(t, x) \leq \eta(t, w_0)$, *for all* $(t, x) \in (0, 1) \times ([u_0, v_0] \cap S)$, *and* $\lim\limits_{s \to t^-} \eta(s, w_0) < t$, *for all* $t \in (0, 1)$.

Then, A has at least one fixed point $x^* \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$.

Proof. Set $\Psi(t, x, y, z) = z\eta(t, x)$. Then, Ψ satisfies all conditions of Definition 2.5. Thus, by Corollary 2.6, the result is obtained. □

Remark 2.9. *The following notes are considerable:*

- *Corollary 2.6 is a direct result of Theorem 2.3.*
- *Corollary 2.7 and Corollary 2.8 are direct results of Corollary 2.6.*

3 M$_4$K property

In this section, we introduce a new fixed point theorem in the class of multi-valued mixed monotone operators. Due to this, the following definition is given.

Definition 3.1. *A mixed monotone operator* $T : D \times D \to \mathcal{C}(E)$ *is said to be a* **M***ixed* **M***onotone* **M***ulti-valued operator of* **M***eir-***K***eeler type(*$\mathbf{M}_4\mathbf{K}$ *property, for short), if for each* $\epsilon \gg 0$ *and* $t \in (0,1)$*, there exits* $\delta \gg 0$ *such that* $w < \epsilon + \delta$*, implies there exists* $z \in T(tx, y)$ *such that* $z < \epsilon$*, for all* $w \in T(x, ty)$ *and* $(x, y) \in D \times D$*.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let* P *be a normal cone of* E*,* S *be a completely ordered closed subset of* E *with* $S_0 = S\backslash\{\theta\} \subset intP$ *and* $\lambda S \subset S$*, for all* $\lambda \in [0,1]$*. Let* $u_0, v_0 \in S_0$*,* $T : P \times P \to \mathcal{C}(E)$ *be a mixed monotone multi-valued operator of Meir-Keeler type with* $T(([\theta, v_0] \cap S) \times ([\theta, v_0] \cap S)) \subset S$ *and satisfies the following conditions:*

(I) *there exists* $r_0 > 0$ *such that* $u_0 \geq r_0 v_0$*,*

(II) $T(u_0, v_0) \ll u_0 \ll v_0 \ll T(v_0, u_0)$*,*

(III) *for* $u, v \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ *with* $T(u, v) \ll u \ll v$*, there exists* $u' \in S$ *such that* $u \leq T(u', v) \ll u' \ll v$*; similarly, for* $u, v \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$ *with* $u \ll v \ll T(v, u)$*, there exists* $v' \in S$ *such hat* $u \ll v' \ll T(v', u) \leq v$*.*

Then, T *has at least one fixed point* $x^* \in [u_0, v_0] \cap S$*.*

Proof. By the above condition (III), there exists $u_1 \in S$ such that $u_0 \leq T(u_1, v_0) \ll u_1 \ll v_0$. Then, there exists $v_1 \in S$ such that $u_1 \ll v_1 \ll T(v_1, u_1) \leq v_0$. Likewise, there exists $u_2 \in S$ such that $u_1 \leq T(u_2, v_1) \ll u_2 \ll v_1$. Then, there exists $v_2 \in S$ such that $u_2 \ll v_2 \ll T(v_2, u_2) \leq v_1$. In general, there exists $u_n \in S$ such that $u_{n-1} \leq T(u_n, v_{n-1}) \ll u_n \ll v_{n-1}$. Then, there exists $v_n \in S$ such that $u_n \ll v_n \ll T(v_n, u_n) \leq v_{n-1}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$.
Take $r_n = \sup\{r \in (0,1) : u_n \geq r v_n\}$, thus $0 < r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_n < r_{n+1} < \cdots < 1$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = \sup\{r_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\} = r^* \in (0,1]$. Since $r_{n+1} > r_n = \sup\{r \in (0,1) : u_n \geq r v_n\}$, thus $u_n \ngeq r_{n+1} v_n$. In addition, S is completely ordered and $\lambda S \subset S$, for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$, then $u_n < r_{n+1} v_n$. Now, one can prove $r^* = 1$. Otherwise, $r^* \in (0,1)$. We claim

$$T(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) \leq T((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1}). \tag{3.20}$$

Suppose $x \in T(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1})$ be arbitrary. We have $u_{n+1} \leq (1/r^*)u_{n+1}$. If $x_1 = u_{n+1}$, $x_2 = (1/r^*)u_{n+1}$ and $y = v_{n+1}$, then by (A_1) of Definition 1.5, there exists $z \in T((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, v_{n+1})$ such that $x \leq z$. Thus, $T(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) \leq T((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, v_{n+1})$.

Also, if $y_1 = r^* v_{n+1}$, $y_2 = v_{n+1}$ and $x = (1/r^*)u_{n+1}$, then for $w \in T((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1})$, there exists $h \in T((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, v_{n+1})$ such that $w \geq h$. It means that,

$$T((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1}) \geq T((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}). \tag{3.21}$$

Thus,

$$T(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) \leq T((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1}). \tag{3.22}$$

Assume $k_n \in T((1/r^*)u_{n+1}, r^* v_{n+1})$ is arbitrary. So, if $\epsilon = r_n k_n$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $k_n < r_n k_n + \delta$. By Definition 3.1, for all

$$s \in T(r^*((1/r^*)u_{n+1}), v_{n+1}) = T(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}), \tag{3.23}$$

$s < r_n k_n$. Since $u_n \leq T(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1})$ by Definition 1.3, there exists $l_n \in T(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1})$ such that $u_n \leq l_n$. Also, $l_n < r_n k_n$, thus $u_n < r_n k_n$.

If we apply the above argument again, we gain $k_n \leq v_n$. Thus, $u_n < r_n v_n$, which is a contradiction by the choice of r_n. Therefore, $r^* = 1$ and

$$||v_n - u_n|| \leq K(1 - r_n)||v_n|| \leq K^2(1 - r_n)||v_0||, \tag{3.24}$$

It means that, $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} v_n$.

For all $n, p \geq 1$,

$$||v_n - v_{n+p}|| \leq K||v_n - u_n|| \to 0 \ (n, p \to \infty). \tag{3.25}$$

Also,

$$||u_{n+p} - u_n|| \leq K||v_n - u_n|| \to 0 \ (n, p \to \infty). \tag{3.26}$$

Hence, $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in E, then there exists $u^*, v^* \in E$, such that $u_n \to u^*, v_n \to v^*$ $(n \to \infty)$ and $u^* = v^*$. Write $x^* = u^* = v^*$.

It is easy to see that $u_n \leq T(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) \leq T(x^*, x^*) \leq T(v_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \leq v_n$, for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Thus, there exists $z_n \in T(x^*, x^*)$ such that $u_n \leq z_n \leq v_n$. By normality of P and taking limit from both sides of (3.24),

$$||z_n - u_n|| \leq K(1 - r_n)||v_n|| \leq K^2(1 - r_n)||v_0|| \to 0. \tag{3.27}$$

So $z_n \to x^*$. Since T has closed values, then $x^* \in T(x^*, x^*)$ and $x^* \in [u_n, v_n] \cap S \subset [u_0, v_0] \cap S$. $\square$

Remark 3.3. *In Theorem 3.2, set* $T(x, y) = \{A(x, y)\}$, *where* A *is a mixed monotone single-valued operator, then Theorem 2.3 is concluded.*

Acknowledgment

The second author would like to thank the School of Mathematics of the Institute for Research in fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran, for supporting this research (Grant No.89470126).

References

[1] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications, *Nonlinear Anal. (TMA)* **11** (1987), 623–632.

[2] D. J. Guo, Fixed points of mixed monotone operators with applications, *Appl. Anal.* **31** (1988), 215–224.

[3] Sh. Hong, Fixed points for mixed monotone multivalued operators in Banach spaces with applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **337** (2008), 333–342.

[4] A. Meir and E. Keeler, A theorem on contraction mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **28** (1969), 326-329.

[5] Y. Wu, New fixed point theorems and applications of mixed monotone operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **341** (2008), 883–893.

[6] Sh. Xu and B. Jia, Fixed-point theorems of ϕ concave-ψ convex mixed monotone operators and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **295** (2004), 645–657.

[7] M. Zhang, Fixed point theorems of ϕ concave $-\psi$ convex mixed monotone operators and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **339** (2008), 970–981.

[8] Zh. Zhang and K. Wang, On fixed point theorems of mixed monotone operators and applications, *Nonlinear Anal. (TMA)* **70** (2009), 3279–3284.

[9] Z. Zhao, Existence and uniqueness of fixed points for some mixed monotone operators, *Nonlinear Anal. (TMA)* **73** (2010), 1481–1490.